

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,809	09/30/2005	Hiroyuki Osada	3749-0106PUS1	6770	
2292 7590 12/22/2098 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			COOK, LISA V		
FALLS CHUF	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1641		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/22/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/551.809 OSADA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit LISA V. COOK 1641 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 September 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Attachment(s)

| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper Nots) Mail Date | Paper Nots)

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Amendment Entry

Applicant's response to the Office Action mailed 5/5/08 is acknowledged. In the amendment filed therein claims 5 and 6 were modified. New claims 13 and 14 were added. Claim 8 was previously withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as drawn to a non-elected invention (see paper mailed 5/5/08).
 Currently claims 1-7 and 9-14 are under consideration. Applicant's amendment has necessitated the following restriction requirement.

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

- In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.
- **Group I**, claim(s) 1-4, are drawn to methods of fixing using a low-molecular compound and photoreactive complexes to a solid-phase support.
- $\mbox{\bf Group II},$  claims 5-7 are drawn to methods of fixing low-molecular compounds to a microarray.
- **Group III**, claims 9-12 are drawn to methods of fixing low-molecular compounds to beads.
- Group IV, claim(s) 13 and 14 are drawn to a method of fixing a low molecular weight compound which is an organic small molecule having primarily a carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen or sulfur atom as the main constituent atom to a solid phase support.

4. The inventions listed as Groups I through IV, do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The methods appear to be drawn to different and diverse compounds that are fixed on various types of solid-phase supports. The method of Group IV requires an organic small molecule having primarily a carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen or sulfur atom as the main constituent atom. However, Group I doesn't require an organic small molecule. Group II and III are drawn to two distinct solid-phase supports that require separate search and considerations. Group II is drawn to a microarray while Group III is drawn to beads. In addition the special technical feature is taught by the prior art. Therefore the special technical feature (low-molecular compounds linked to a photoreactive compound on a solid-phase support) is known and is not a contribution over what is known. For example, see MacBeath et al., Journal of American Chemical Society, 1999, Vol.121, pages 7967-7968. Accordingly, the Groups lack the same corresponding technical feature and do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PGT Rules 13.1 and 13.2.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa V. Cook whose telephone number is (571) 272-0816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30am to 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Shibuya can be reached on (571) 272-0806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lisa V. Cook Patent Examiner Remsen (571) 272-0816 12/17/08

/Lisa V. Cook/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1641